Total survey respondents: 4184 Response rate: 97.9 %

Engaging and Supporting All Students in Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

1. Use knowledge of studen	ts' strengths and prior experiences to engage	4	116	98.4%		
them in learning		DNR	68	1.6%		
1 =	Not at all		12	0.3%	4.32	Mean
2 =	Poorly		73	1.8%	0.80	SD
3 =	Adequately		594	14.4%		
4 =	Well	1	342	32.6%		
5 =	Very well	2	095	50.9%		
Connect classroom learni	ng to the real world	4 DNR	116	98.4%		
	Not on all	DIKK	68	1.6%	4.26	
-	Not at all		15	0.4%		Mean
_	Poorly		120	2.9%	0.85	SD
	Adequately	_	651	15.8%		
	Well		325	32.2%		
5 =	Very well	2	005	48.7%		
3. Engage students in inqui	ry, problem solving, and reflection to promote	4	103	98.1%		
their critical thinking	3 , 1	DNR	81	1.9%		
1 =	Not at all		16	0.4%	4.30	Mean
2 =	Poorly		100	2.4%	0.83	
3 =	Adequately		575	14.0%		
4 =	Well	1	375	33.5%		
5 =	Very well	2	037	49.6%		
		1	099	98.0%		
4. Meet the instructional ne	eds of English learners	DNR	85	2.0%		
1	Not at all		10	0.2%	4 18	Mean
-	Poorly		117	2.9%	0.86	
	Adequately		788	19.2%	0.00	SD
	Well	_	376	33.6%		
	Very well		808	44.1%		
5 =	very wen		000	77.1 70		
5. Identify and address spec	cial learning needs with appropriate teaching	4	109	98.2%		
strategies		DNR	75	1.8%		
1 =	Not at all		20	0.5%		Mean
2 =	Poorly		145	3.5%	0.88	SD
3 =	Adequately		742	18.1%		,
4 =	Well	1	440	35.0%		
5 =	Very well	1	762	42.9%		

Creating and Maintaining Effective Environments for Student Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

6. Engage students in coope	erative group work as well as independent	4097	97.9%
learning		DNR 87	2.1%
1 =	Not at all	15	0.4%
2 =	Poorly	66	1.6%
3 =	Adequately	522	12.7%
4 =	Well	1303	31.8%
5 =	Very well	2191	53.5%

4.36 **Mean** 0.79 **SD**

Total survey respondents: 4184 Response rate: 97.9 %

7. Establish and maintain a	safe and respectful learning environment for all	4	100	98.0%		
students		DNR	84	2.0%		
1 =	Not at all		13	0.3%	4.45	Mean
2 =	Poorly		54	1.3%	0.76	SD
3 =	Adequately		439	10.7%		
4 =	Well	1	162	28.3%		
5 =	Very well	2	2432	59.3%		
8. Create a productive learn	ing environment with high expectations for all	4	1095	97.9%		
students		DNR	89	2.1%		
1 =	Not at all		20	0.5%	4.36	Mean
2 =	Poorly		64	1.6%	0.80	SD
3 =	Adequately		516	12.6%		
4 =	Well	1	.305	31.9%		
5 =	Very well	2	190	53.5%		

Understanding and Organizing Subject Matter for Student Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

		3942	94.2%		
9. Develop curriculum to tea	ach content standards effectively	DNR 242	5.8%		
1 =	Not at all	26	0.7%	4.20	Mean
2 =	Poorly	121	3.1%	0.87	
3 =	Adequately	677	17.2%		
4 =	Well	1343	34.1%		
5 =	Very well	1775	45.0%		
10. Use effective instruction	al strategies to teach specific subject matter and	3944	94.3%		
skills		DNR 240	5.7%		
1 =	Not at all	22	0.6%	4.28	Mean
2 =	Poorly	103	2.6%	0.84	SD
3 =	Adequately	564	14.3%		
4 =	Well	1310	33.2%		
5 =	Very well	1945	49.3%		
11. Select, adapt, and devel	lop materials, resources, and technologies to	3926	93.8%		
make subject matter access	ible to all students	DNR 258	6.2%		
1 =	Not at all	15	0.4%	4.23	Mean
2 =	Poorly	109	2.8%	0.85	SD
3 =	Adequately	645	16.4%		
4 =	Well	1346	34.3%		
5 =	Very well	1811	46.1%		

Planning Instruction and Designing Learning Experiences for All Students

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

12. Plan instruction based on students' prior knowledge, academic readiness,	3938	94.1%		
language proficiency, cultural background, and individual development	DNR 246	5.9%		
1 = Not at all	14	0.4%	4.28	Mean
2 = Poorly	81	2.1%	0.82	SD
3 = Adequately	596	15.1%		
4 = Well	1358	34.5%		
5 = Very well	1889	48.0%		

Preliminary Single Subject Credential Program Completer Survey – 2018

Statewide Results

Total survey respondents: 4184 Response rate: 97.9 %

13. Plan and adapt instruction that incorporates appropriate strategies,	3939	94.1%	
resources and technologies to meet the learning needs of all students	DNR 245	5.9%	
1 = Not at all	7	0.2%	
2 = Poorly	81	2.1%	(
3 = Adequately	560	14.2%	
4 = Well	1377	35.0%	
5 = Very well	1914	48.6%	

4.30 **Mean**0.80 **SD**

Date: 1/29/2019

Assessing Students for Learning

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

14. Develop and use assessment data from a variety of sources to establish learning goals and to plan, differentiate, and modify instruction	3937 DNR <i>247</i>	94.1% 5.9%		
1 = Not at all	17	0.4%	4.18	Mean
2 = Poorly	139	3.5%	0.87	SD
3 = Adequately	696	17.7%		
4 = Well	1368	34.7%		
5 = Very well	1717	43.6%		
15. Involve all students in self-assessment, goal setting, and monitoring	3935	94.0%		
progress	DNR 249	6.0%		
1 = Not at all	33	0.8%	4.12	Mean
2 = Poorly	161	4.1%	0.92	SD
3 = Adequately	763	19.4%		
4 = Well	1324	33.6%		
5 = Very well	1654	42.0%		
16. City and destine for the state of the st	3934	94.0%		
16. Give productive feedback to students to guide their learning	DNR 250	6.0%		
1 = Not at all	21	0.5%	4.25	Mean
2 = Poorly	104	2.6%	0.85	SD
3 = Adequately	627	15.9%		
4 = Well	1303	33.1%		
5 = Very well	1879	47.8%		

Developing as a Professional Educator

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

17. Evaluate the effects of	your actions on student learning and modify plans	3881	92.8%		
accordingly	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	DNR 303	7.2%		
1 =	Not at all	17	0.4%	4.25	Mean
2 =	Poorly	83	2.1%	0.82	SD
3 =	Adequately	601	15.5%		
4 =	Well	1405	36.2%		
5 =	Very well	1775	45.7%		
		3874	92.6%		
18. Work with families to b	etter understand students and to support their		92.070		
learning		DNR 310	7.4%		
1 =	Not at all	77	2.0%	3.87	Mean
2 =	Poorly	251	6.5%	1.01	SD
3 =	Adequately	1025	26.5%		
4 =	Well	1258	32.5%		
5 =	Very well	1263	32.6%		

Total survey respondents: 4184 Response rate: 97.9 %

19. Work with colleagues to	improve instruction	3877 DNR 307	92.7% 7.3%	
1 =	Not at all	39	1.0%	4.20 Mean
2 =	Poorly	120	3.1%	0.90 SD
3 =	Adequately	666	17.2%	
4 =	Well	1252	32.3%	
5 =	Very well	1800	46.4%	

Content for Single Subjects

How well did your teacher preparation program prepare you to do each of the following as a teacher?

our couciner proparación prog.	and property you to do caon or the remaining as a toa				
20. Teach my content area	according to California academic content	3885	92.9%		
standards in my grade(s)		DNR 299	7.1%		
1 =	Not at all	37	1.0%	4.26	Mean
2 =	Poorly	117	3.0%	0.89	SD
3 =	Adequately	579	14.9%		
4 =	Well	1220	31.4%		
5 =	Very well	1932	49.7%		
		3874	92.6%		
21. Contribute to students' subject area	reading skills including comprehension in my	DNR 310	7.4%		
	Not at all	31	0.8%	1 16	
-		131	3.4%	0.90	Mean
2 =	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	708	18.3%	0.90	SD
3 =		1303	33.6%		
4 =			43.9%		
5 =	Very well	1701	43.9%		
22. Enable students to acqu	uire subject matter skills that contribute to future	3880	92.7%		
success in life, college, and		DNR 304	7.3%		
1 =	Not at all	28	0.7%	4.21	Mean
2 =	Poorly	94	2.4%	0.86	SD
3 =	Adequately	667	17.2%		
4 =	Well	1325	34.1%		
5 =	Very well	1766	45.5%		
		3870	92.5%		
23. Anticipate and address out	the needs of students who are at risk of dropping	DNR 314			
	Nick ob all		7.5%	2.02	
-	Not at all	127	3.3%		Mean
2 =		318	8.2%	1.08	SD
3 =		950	24.5%		
4 =		1174	30.3%		
5 =	Very well	1301	33.6%		

Field Experiences

24. Which of the following best describes the kind of clinical experience you	3940	94.2%
had during your preparation (check all that apply):	DNR 244	5.8%
Student teaching with a cooperating teacher	2928	70.0%
Teaching fellow or intern in a program where I served as teacher of record while taking courses for my credential		
	1000	23.9%
Teaching on an emergency credential [PIP/ STP] while taking courses for my credential	294	7.0%
-	274	7.0 70

Total survey respondents: 4184 Response rate: 97.9 %

	on program faculty or staff communicate with neans about your teaching practice?	3926 DNR 258	93.8% <i>6.2%</i>		
1 =	Less than once per month	134	3.4%	3.86	Mean
2 =	Once per month	420	10.7%	1.20	SD
3 =	Twice per month	822	20.9%		
4 =	Once per week	1290	32.9%		
5 =	2-3 times per week	999	25.4%		
6 =	Daily	261	6.6%		
	on program faculty or supervisors observe your provide feedback during your clinical practice?	3918 DNR 266	93.6% <i>6.4%</i>		
·	Once or twice	64	1.6%	3.41	Mean
-	3-5 times	622	15.9%	1.15	
_	6-10 times	1843	47.0%	1.13	SD
_	11-15 times	728	18.6%		
•	16.20.11		8.9%		
5 =		348			
6 =	More than 20 times	313	8.0%		
	lped me integrate and apply the major ideas	3922	93.7%		
developed through program	coursework	DNR 262	6.3%		
1 =	Strongly Disagree	41	1.0%		Mean
2 =	Disagree	52	1.3%	0.77	SD
3 =	Neutral	287	7.3%		
4 =	Agree	1521	38.8%		
5 =	Strongly Agree	2021	51.5%		
28 My cooperating teacher	(s) (select all that apply):	3790	90.6%		
28. My cooperating teacher	(s) (select all that apply):	3790 DNR 394	90.6%		
28. My cooperating teacher					
28. My cooperating teacher	(s) (select all that apply): Frequently observed my teaching and met with me to offer feedback				
28. My cooperating teacher	Frequently observed my teaching and met with me to	DNR 394	9.4%		
28. My cooperating teacher	Frequently observed my teaching and met with me to offer feedback	DNR 394	9.4% 75.7%		
28. My cooperating teacher	Frequently observed my teaching and met with me to offer feedback Was an excellent educator and a valuable role model	3169 3077	9.4% 75.7% 73.5%		
28. My cooperating teacher	Frequently observed my teaching and met with me to offer feedback Was an excellent educator and a valuable role model Modeled effective practices	3169 3077 3022	9.4% 75.7% 73.5% 72.2%		
28. My cooperating teacher	Frequently observed my teaching and met with me to offer feedback Was an excellent educator and a valuable role model Modeled effective practices Helped me plan and organize curriculum materials	3169 3077 3022 2872	9.4% 75.7% 73.5% 72.2% 68.6%		
28. My cooperating teacher	Frequently observed my teaching and met with me to offer feedback Was an excellent educator and a valuable role model Modeled effective practices Helped me plan and organize curriculum materials Offered useful strategies and advice about my teaching	3169 3077 3022 2872 3359	9.4% 75.7% 73.5% 72.2% 68.6% 80.3%		
28. My cooperating teacher	Frequently observed my teaching and met with me to offer feedback Was an excellent educator and a valuable role model Modeled effective practices Helped me plan and organize curriculum materials Offered useful strategies and advice about my teaching Helped me reflect on my practice	3169 3077 3022 2872 3359 3222	9.4% 75.7% 73.5% 72.2% 68.6% 80.3% 77.0%		
28. My cooperating teacher	Frequently observed my teaching and met with me to offer feedback Was an excellent educator and a valuable role model Modeled effective practices Helped me plan and organize curriculum materials Offered useful strategies and advice about my teaching Helped me reflect on my practice Helped me to solve teaching problems	3169 3077 3022 2872 3359 3222	9.4% 75.7% 73.5% 72.2% 68.6% 80.3% 77.0%		
28. My cooperating teacher	Frequently observed my teaching and met with me to offer feedback Was an excellent educator and a valuable role model Modeled effective practices Helped me plan and organize curriculum materials Offered useful strategies and advice about my teaching Helped me reflect on my practice Helped me to solve teaching problems Was knowledgeable about and able to provide support	3169 3077 3022 2872 3359 3222 3102	9.4% 75.7% 73.5% 72.2% 68.6% 80.3% 77.0% 74.1%		
	Frequently observed my teaching and met with me to offer feedback Was an excellent educator and a valuable role model Modeled effective practices Helped me plan and organize curriculum materials Offered useful strategies and advice about my teaching Helped me reflect on my practice Helped me to solve teaching problems Was knowledgeable about and able to provide support for field-based assignments	3169 3077 3022 2872 3359 3222 3102	9.4% 75.7% 73.5% 72.2% 68.6% 80.3% 77.0% 74.1%		
29. Approximately how muc	Frequently observed my teaching and met with me to offer feedback Was an excellent educator and a valuable role model Modeled effective practices Helped me plan and organize curriculum materials Offered useful strategies and advice about my teaching Helped me reflect on my practice Helped me to solve teaching problems Was knowledgeable about and able to provide support	3169 3077 3022 2872 3359 3222 3102	9.4% 75.7% 73.5% 72.2% 68.6% 80.3% 77.0% 74.1%		
29. Approximately how muc	Frequently observed my teaching and met with me to offer feedback Was an excellent educator and a valuable role model Modeled effective practices Helped me plan and organize curriculum materials Offered useful strategies and advice about my teaching Helped me reflect on my practice Helped me to solve teaching problems Was knowledgeable about and able to provide support for field-based assignments ch time did you spend in student teaching (in the teacher) as part of your supervised fieldwork?	3169 3077 3022 2872 3359 3222 3102 3015	9.4% 75.7% 73.5% 72.2% 68.6% 80.3% 77.0% 74.1% 93.2%	3.69	Mean
29. Approximately how much classroom of a cooperating	Frequently observed my teaching and met with me to offer feedback Was an excellent educator and a valuable role model Modeled effective practices Helped me plan and organize curriculum materials Offered useful strategies and advice about my teaching Helped me reflect on my practice Helped me to solve teaching problems Was knowledgeable about and able to provide support for field-based assignments ch time did you spend in student teaching (in the teacher) as part of your supervised fieldwork? Less than 100 hours	3169 3077 3022 2872 3359 3222 3102 3015	9.4% 75.7% 73.5% 72.2% 68.6% 80.3% 77.0% 74.1% 93.2% 6.8%		Mean SD
29. Approximately how much classroom of a cooperating 1 =	Frequently observed my teaching and met with me to offer feedback Was an excellent educator and a valuable role model Modeled effective practices Helped me plan and organize curriculum materials Offered useful strategies and advice about my teaching Helped me reflect on my practice Helped me to solve teaching problems Was knowledgeable about and able to provide support for field-based assignments ch time did you spend in student teaching (in the teacher) as part of your supervised fieldwork? Less than 100 hours 100 - 299 hours	3169 3077 3022 2872 3359 3222 3102 3015 3898 DNR 286 55	9.4% 75.7% 73.5% 72.2% 68.6% 80.3% 77.0% 74.1% 93.2% 6.8% 1.8%	3.69 1.03	
29. Approximately how much classroom of a cooperating 1 = 2 =	Frequently observed my teaching and met with me to offer feedback Was an excellent educator and a valuable role model Modeled effective practices Helped me plan and organize curriculum materials Offered useful strategies and advice about my teaching Helped me reflect on my practice Helped me to solve teaching problems Was knowledgeable about and able to provide support for field-based assignments ch time did you spend in student teaching (in the teacher) as part of your supervised fieldwork? Less than 100 hours 100 - 299 hours 300 - 599 hours	3169 3077 3022 2872 3359 3222 3102 3015 3898 DNR 286 55 323	9.4% 75.7% 73.5% 72.2% 68.6% 80.3% 77.0% 74.1% 93.2% 6.8% 1.8% 10.6%		
29. Approximately how much classroom of a cooperating 1 = 2 = 3 = 4 = 4 = 4 = 4 = 4 = 4 = 4 = 4 = 4	Frequently observed my teaching and met with me to offer feedback Was an excellent educator and a valuable role model Modeled effective practices Helped me plan and organize curriculum materials Offered useful strategies and advice about my teaching Helped me reflect on my practice Helped me to solve teaching problems Was knowledgeable about and able to provide support for field-based assignments ch time did you spend in student teaching (in the teacher) as part of your supervised fieldwork? Less than 100 hours 100 - 299 hours 300 - 599 hours	3169 3077 3022 2872 3359 3222 3102 3015 3898 DNR 286 55 323 928	9.4% 75.7% 73.5% 72.2% 68.6% 80.3% 77.0% 74.1% 93.2% 6.8% 1.8% 10.6% 30.6%		
29. Approximately how much classroom of a cooperating 1 = 2 = 3 = 4 = 4 = 4 = 4 = 4 = 4 = 4 = 4 = 4	Frequently observed my teaching and met with me to offer feedback Was an excellent educator and a valuable role model Modeled effective practices Helped me plan and organize curriculum materials Offered useful strategies and advice about my teaching Helped me reflect on my practice Helped me to solve teaching problems Was knowledgeable about and able to provide support for field-based assignments ch time did you spend in student teaching (in the teacher) as part of your supervised fieldwork? Less than 100 hours 100 - 299 hours 300 - 599 hours 600 - 799 hours	3169 3077 3022 2872 3359 3222 3102 3015 3898 DNR 286 55 323 928 946	9.4% 75.7% 73.5% 72.2% 68.6% 80.3% 77.0% 74.1% 93.2% 6.8% 1.8% 10.6% 30.6% 31.2%		

Total survey respondents: 4184 Response rate: 97.9 %

30. Approximately how much time did you spend in <i>an internship</i>	2453	58.6%		
<pre>placement as teacher of record as part of your fieldwork?</pre>	DNR ₁₇₃₁	41.4%		
1 = Less than 100 hours	15	1.3%	4.24	Mean
2 = 100 - 299 hours	109	9.3%	1.08	SD
3 = 300 - 599 hours	160	13.7%		
4 = 600 - 799 hours	178	15.3%		
5 = 800 hours or more	705	60.4%		
Does not apply/I was not in an internship *	1286	-		
31. If you served in an internship placement , how often did your				
assigned mentor observe your classroom instruction and provide feedback	2449	58.5%		
and assistance during your clinical practice?	DNR ₁₇₃₅	41.5%		
1 = Once or twice	67	6.1%		Mean
2 = 3-5 times	147	13.4%	1.51	SD
3 = 6-10 times	304	27.8%		
4 = 11-15 times	209	19.1%		
5 = 16-20 times	142	13.0%		
6 = More than 20 times	225	20.6%		
Does not apply/I was not in an internship*	1355	-		
32. Overall, how effective was your teacher preparation program at developing the skills or tools you needed to become a teacher? 3816 91.2% DNR 368 8.8%				
1 = Not at all effective	39	1.0%	3.41	Mean
2 = Somewhat effective	374	9.8%	0.71	SD
3 = Effective	1404	36.8%		
4 = Very effective	1999	52.4%		

Demographics

22.4		91.8%
33. Are you Hispanic or Latino?	DNR 343	8.2%
No, not Hispanic or Latino	2614	68.1%
Yes, Hispanic or Latino	1227	31.9%

Total survey respondents: 4184 Response rate: 97.9 %

	3284	78.5%
34. What is your race? Mark all that apply	DNR 900	21.5%
American Indian or Alaska Native	173	4.1%
Chinese	146	3.5%
Japanese	72	1.7%
Korean	72	1.7%
Vietnamese	75	1.8%
Asian Indian	37	0.9%
Laotian	4	0.1%
Cambodian	13	0.3%
Filipino	116	2.8%
Hmong	15	0.4%
Other Asian	56	1.3%
Black or African American	190	4.5%
Hawaiian	18	0.4%
Guamanian	8	0.2%
Samoan	8	0.2%
Tahitian	2	0.0%
Other Pacific Islander	16	0.4%
White	2692	64.3%

35. What is your gender?

	384	7 91.9%
	DNR 33	8.1%
Female	212	2 55.2%
Male	164	9 42.9%
Decline to state	7	5 2.0%

Preliminary Single Subject Credential Program Completer Survey - 2018

Response Rate by IHE (Statewide completion rate is 97.9 %)

Requests	Respo	ndents	IHE
1	1	100 %	ACADEMY OF ART UNIVERSITY
85	81	95.29 %	ALLIANT INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY
99	97	97.98 %	AZUSA PACIFIC UNIVERSITY
6	5	83.33 %	BARD COLLEGE
13	13	100 %	BAY AREA SCHOOL OF ENTERPRISE (REACH INSTITUTE)
32	32	100 %	BIOLA UNIVERSITY
135	130	96.3 %	BRANDMAN UNIVERSITY
50	48	96 %	CALIFORNIA BAPTIST UNIVERSITY
33	32		CALIFORNIA LUTHERAN UNIVERSITY
81	81	100 %	CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN LUIS OBISPO
115	115	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY, POMONA
107	107	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, BAKERSFIELD
26	26	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, CHANNEL ISLANDS
74	74	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, CHICO
56	56	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, DOMINGUEZ HILLS
123	123	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST BAY
188	188	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FRESNO
132	132	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FULLERTON
323	323	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LONG BEACH
8	8	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, LOS ANGELES
43	43	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, MONTEREY BAY
27	27	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, NORTHRIDGE
27	27	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SACRAMENTO
94	94	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN BERNARDINO
63	63	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN MARCOS
29	29	100 %	CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, STANISLAUS
21	20	95.24 %	CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY
31	31	100 %	CLAREMONT GRADUATE UNIVERSITY
24	24	100 %	CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY IRVINE
24	23	95.83 %	DOMINICAN UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
40	40	100 %	FRESNO PACIFIC UNIVERSITY
14	14	100 %	HIGH TECH HIGH
7	7	100 %	HOLY NAMES UNIVERSITY
15	15	100 %	HOPE INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY
6	6	100 %	HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY
3	3	100 %	LA SIERRA UNIVERSITY
2	2	100 %	LOS ANGELES COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
3	3	100 %	LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
145	143	98.62 %	LOYOLA MARYMOUNT UNIVERSITY
17	17	100 %	MILLS COLLEGE
10	10	100 %	MOUNT SAINT MARY'S UNIVERSITY
20	20	100 %	MT. DIABLO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
281	272	96.8 %	NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
32	31		NOTRE DAME DE NAMUR UNIVERSITY
3	3	100 %	PACIFIC UNION COLLEGE
21	21	100 %	PEPPERDINE UNIVERSITY
68	67		POINT LOMA NAZARENE UNIVERSITY
		70	

Key: **Bold IHE**: will receive detail report. *Italic IHE*: insufficient responses to receive detail report.

Preliminary Single Subject Credential Program Completer Survey - 2018

Response Rate by IHE (Statewide completion rate is 97.9 %)

Requests	Respo	ondents	THE
7	6	85.71 %	SACRAMENTO COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
10	10	100 %	SAN DIEGO CHRISTIAN COLLEGE
153	153	100 %	SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY
27	27	100 %	SAN FRANCISCO STATE UNIVERSITY
1	1	100 %	SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION SAN JOSE STATE UNIVERSITY
19	19	100 %	SANTA CLARA UNIVERSITY
52 18	48 18	,,	SIMPSON UNIVERSITY
24	24	100 % 100 %	SONOMA STATE UNIVERSITY
38	37		ST. MARY'S COLLEGE OF CALIFORNIA
62	61	97.37 % 98.39 %	STANFORD UNIVERSITY
14	14	100 %	SUMMIT PUBLIC SCHOOLS
78		71.79 %	TEACHERS COLLEGE OF SAN JOAQUIN
4	4	100 %	THE MASTER'S UNIVERSITY
10	10	100 %	TOURO UNIVERSITY
19	19	100 %	TULARE COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION
2	2	100 %	UNITED STATES UNIVERSITY
32	32	100 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
60		93.33 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
81		98.77 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE
75		97.33 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
48		95.83 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
34	30	88.24 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
35		97.14 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA
38		94.74 %	UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA CRUZ
63		98.41 %	UNIVERSITY OF LA VERNE
59	59	100 %	UNIVERSITY OF PHOENIX
136	134	98.53 %	UNIVERSITY OF REDLANDS
48	47	97.92 %	UNIVERSITY OF SAN DIEGO
45	42	93.33 %	UNIVERSITY OF SAN FRANCISCO
86	82	95.35 %	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
37	37	100 %	UNIVERSITY OF THE PACIFIC
19	17	89.47 %	VANGUARD UNIVERSITY
59	58	98.31 %	WESTERN GOVERNORS UNIVERSITY
7	6	85.71 %	WESTMONT COLLEGE
11	10	90.91 %	WHITTIER COLLEGE
7	7	100 %	WILLIAM JESSUP UNIVERSITY